

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,374	KRONICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Heather G. Calamita.

(2) Tim Joyce.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A mistake in the in the restriction requirement mailed on May 17, 2006, was discussed. Groups I and III should have required a species election with respect to the probes. Applicants should have been required to elect a species of probes from a) nucleic acids or b) polypeptides. After speaking with Tim Joyce a species election for the probes was made. Applicants' elected to prosecute the species of nucleic acids..